1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) United States Attorney		
2	EUMI L. CHOI (CSBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division		
4 5 6 7	SUSAN KNIGHT (CSBN 209013) Assistant United States Attorney  150 Almaden Blvd., Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5056 FAX: (408) 535-5066 Susan.Knight@usdoj.gov		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10 11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN J	OSE DIVISION	*E-FILED - 4/13/06*
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. CR 06-0	
14	Plaintiff,	) No. CR 00-00	00/4 Idvi W
15	V.	) STIPULATION OR DER EXC	ON AND CLUDING TIME
16	JAMES FITZGERALD,	) )	CLODING TIME
17	Defendant.	) SAN JOSE V	'ENUE
18		_)	
19			
20	On March 13, 2006, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for an initial		
21	appearance. Assistant United States Attorney Susan Knight informed the Court that the		
22	defendant was arrested in Monterey County on February 16, 2006, and was detained with an		
23	unknown release date. Therefore, the parties requested that the matter be continued to April 3,		
24	2006 at 9:00 a.m. Based on the defendant's unavailability, the parties requested an exclusion of		
25	time under the Speedy Trial Act from March 13, 2006 until April 3, 2006. The parties agree and		
26	stipulate that an exclusion of time is appropriate based on the defendant's incarceration in		
27	Monterey County Jail. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(3)(A).		
28	//		

Stipulation and order No. 06-00074 RMW

## Case 5:06-cr-00074-RMW Document 30 Filed 04/13/06 Page 2 of 2

1	SO STIPULATED:	KEVIN V. RYAN United States Attorney	
2		Office States Attorney	
3	DATED:	/s/ SUSAN KNIGHT	
4		Assistant United States Attorney	
5	DATED:	/s/ LARA S. VINNARD	
6		Assistant Federal Public Defender	
7			
8	Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that time be excluded		
9	under the Speedy Trial Act from March 13, 2006 until April 3, 2006. The Court finds, based on		
10	the defendant's current incarceration in Monterey County, that the ends of justice served by		
11	granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a		
12	speedy trial. The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made based		
13	upon the defendant's unavailability pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(3)(A).		
14	SO ORDERED.		
15			
16	DATED: 4/13/06	/s/ Ronald M. Whyte	
17		RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

STIPULATION AND ORDER